

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	. 1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/031,463		07/19/2002	Neil R. Anderson	29342/36539A 6929		
4743	7590	01/07/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
MARSHA 6300 SEAR		STEIN & BORUN	BERNHARDT, EMILY B			
233 S. WA		= = -		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
CHICAGO	, IL 6060	06		1624		
				DATE MAILED: 01/07/2004	04	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application	n No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary	10/031,46	3	ANDERSON ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
TI MAN INC DATE AND	Emily Ber	1	1624					
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	opears on the	cover sneet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory points. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	.136(a). In no even ply within the statu d will apply and will tte, cause the appl	ent, however, may a reply be tim utory minimum of thirty (30) days Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONEI	ely filed will be considered timely. The mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133),					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on								
	—. s action is no	on-final.						
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 and 20-22 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9.14 -16 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 10-13 and 20-22 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from cor	nsideration.						
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct at 1). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiration.	ccepted or b) e drawing(s) b ection is require	e held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burer * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes since a specific reference was included in the from 37 CFR 1.78. a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language put 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes reference was included in the first sentence of the series of the	nts have bee nts have bee iority docume au (PCT Rule of the certific priority uriest sentence provisional apstic priority uriest priority uriest sentence	n received. n received in Application to have been received in 17.2(a)). fied copies not received ander 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) of the specification or application has been received ander 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	on No ed in this National Stage d. e) (to a provisional application) in an Application Data Sheet. eived. and/or 121 since a specific					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	08052002 .		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/031,463

Art Unit: 1624

This application does not contain an abstract of the disclosure as required by 37 CFR 1.72(b). An abstract on a separate sheet is required. Note that the cover sheet from the corresponding WO publication is not considered part of the instant disclosure.

Claims 10-13, 20-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- 1. It is not seen how claim 11 further limits the scope of claim 10 since the same pharmokinetic parameters are recited in both claims. It is recognized that an "or" follows the C_{max} definition in claim 10 vs an "and" in claim 11. If this is really intended in claim 10 how then does claim 11 further limit?
- 2. With further regard to the pharmokinetic parameters recited in claims 10-11 and in 12 and 20-22, the scope of intended compounds is not clear. Data on p.27 of the specification would only include 2 of the 3 particle sizes for the C_{max} range vs. all the 3 sizes for the AUC range recited. Thus the 2 sets of limitations give a varying scope unless one is further limiting. Clarification is needed.
- 3. How does claim 10 differ from claim 5, the latter which embraces the uppermost limit of particle size described as the instant invention? The same would apply to claims 7-9 vs. 20-22.

Application/Control Number: 10/031,463

Art Unit: 1624

4. The term "and bioequivalent compositions thereof" in claim 13 requires clarification for more than one reason. The claim language literally recites an additional active ingredient together with that already recited in part (a) of the claim. Additionally, are applicants claiming the same compound only having a different particle size as the bioequivalent composition or an entirely different compound? If the latter, specification provides no guidance as to structural makeup. The definition given in the specification does not help ascertain intended scope.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Butler (WO'131). The WO and US equivalent has been cited by applicants. Claim 12 reads on the same compound as described in Butler which has been formulated as a coprecipitate to increase its bioavailability. See compound A formulations on p.14-15. Said formulations reads on the instant composition claim which recites no particular form of the active ingredient- i.e. includes the free form as well as the drug in embedded form. While instant claim recites certain range of AUC and C_{max}

Application/Control Number: 10/031,463

Art Unit: 1624

values, no evidence is seen in the file that this prior art composition inherently lacks the characteristics recited herein. Note In re Fitzgerald 205 USPQ 594; In re Grose 210 USPQ 57 which are on point.

Claims 1-9,14-16 are allowed over the art of record and from a search in the pertinent art area which lacks a teaching of modifying instant compounds to a narrow range of particle sizes.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Emily Bernhardt at telephone number (703) 308-4714.

A facsimile center has been established for Group 1600. The hours of operation are Monday through Friday, 8:45 AM to 4:45 PM. The new fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

EMILY BERNHARDT PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1600